

-----Original Message-----

From: chrisrose [REDACTED]

Sent: 03 January 2022 22:30

To: London Resort <LondonResort@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: Timing of inquiry - reference your letter BC080001 of 21st December

Dear Rynd Smith,

I submit that:

- i) The Planning Examination should start in March. Further delay is not justified.
- ii) Government guidance is that the maximum delay should be 3 months. Waiting until June / July would be 14/15 months. LR is potentially being given an unfair advantage over the rest of us who have respected the PI's deadlines.
- iii) The ongoing delay and associated uncertainty impacts quality of life and well being of all those of us who value the SSSI for the nature and open space that would be destroyed by the scheme. Objectors, and existing businesses on the site (see letter from Mr. Hilton of 26th November), do not have the luxury of paying themselves for the time, uncertainty and dislocation being caused by LR's incompetence. It is clear from the PI's communications on this matter that it too is becoming increasingly exasperated over LR's antics, within the limits of language allowed by its need to appear 'professional' and 'unbiased'.

The PI has complained about the amount of pre-inquiry correspondence it has been receiving on this application. This is hardly surprising when we keep seeing arrogant, wholly misleading and downright dishonest statements by LR in the media, seeking to try to keep itself afloat by claiming that the 'resort' will open by such and such a date as if it has already been given permission to proceed, whilst those putting forward counter-arguments are hard-pressed to get such attention.

I am appalled that an application such as LR's should be granted NSIP status in the first place - other than in terms of the scale of the damage it will do. Surely such schemes should meet a range of key criteria, including:

- not making the climate crisis worse
- not making the biodiversity crisis worse, and to that end not wholly or partly destroying existing high-value nature sites
- not having a detrimental effect on existing businesses, other than through the normal process of free and fair commercial competition
- providing long-term benefit to the nation, rather than simply providing short term thrills and gratification
- being run by competent individuals who can consistently meet deadlines, provide the requisite information in a timely fashion and who do not engage in dishonest propagandising

LR fails on all these points.

Yours sincerely,

Chris Rose BSc (Hons), MSc.

[REDACTED]